

that every bank, bank branch, or other bank facility will have some sort of sign identifying the premises to the public, it is not burdensome to require that the sign not be confusing or misleading. Equally, it is not burdensome to prohibit a bank branch resulting from a corporate acquisition within a reasonable time thereafter to cease using the name of its extinguished corporate predecessor.

Nor does the Rule appear to hamper banks in their operations or efficiency or limit their ability to carry out their functions. The situation here is unlike the situation in *Franklin*, *supra*, 347 U.S. 373, 377, in which a state law was determined to be preempted because it prohibited national banks from advertising in connection with one of their authorized activities (receiving deposits). Under the Rule, banks are not prohibited from advertising any authorized activity. They are not prevented from using abbreviated "advertising" names, such as "FNB" instead of "First National Bank," although if there should be two different "First National Banks" in one city, the Rule requires the second one establishing a bank facility, which will usually be an out-of-town bank, to identify either its domicile city or its branch status: e.g., "FNB Austin" or "San Antonio Branch." Such requirements do not infringe upon a national bank's ability to establish branches under 12 U.S.C. 36(c) or to carry out any other authorized activity.

Since the Texas Rule and the underlying statute are not in conflict with federal law, do not prevent national banks from carrying out their authorized functions under the national banking laws, and do not unduly burden them in operating, it is my opinion that they are applicable to national banks. The OCC, as the authority responsible for administering and enforcing laws and regulations applicable to national banks, will, as the Rule envisions, determine compliance with the Rule with respect to national banks.

I trust this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

/s/

Julie L. Williams,

Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95-15060 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P

## Customs Service

[T.D. 95-50]

### Revocation of Customs Broker Licenses

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Broker License Revocations.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1995, the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to Section 641, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1641), and Part 111.74 of the Customs Regulations, as amended (19 CFR 111.74), ordered the revocation of the following Customs broker licenses due to the failure of the broker to file the

status report as required by 19 CFR 111.30(d). These licenses were issued in the Los Angeles District. The list of affected brokers is as follows:

Gilbert E. Amador—03970  
Stanley K. Appel—06305  
Carol J. Boldt-Miller—06617  
Elayne C. Brenner—11744  
Marshall R. Brownfield—05207  
Yolanda Curry—07856  
P.R. Domey—02998  
David W. Doran—11777  
Ferdinand M. Dreifuss—04236  
Herbert S. Fischer—04484  
Charlene Marie Fluster—11742  
James Thomas Gibbs—12819  
Peggy Changsoon Kim—13616  
Young Mok Kim—05804  
Josefina G. Klink—06673  
Suzanne Knight—11170  
Regis Francis Kramer—03279  
Michael O. Larson—05567  
James W. McDonald—04563  
Kay J. Meggison—05847  
Maria D. Oria—03319  
Hal Dennis Pope—10598  
Klaus Roessel—04052  
David C. Salazar—11457  
Morris H. Schneider—03588  
Jack Neal Schulman—07871

Dated: June 14, 1995.

**Philip Metzger,**

Director, Trade Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-14959 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

[T.D. 95-49]

### Revocation of Customs Broker Licenses

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Broker license revocations.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1995, the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to Section 641, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1641), and Part 111.74 of the Customs Regulations, as amended (19 CFR 111.74), ordered the revocation of the following Customs broker licenses due to the failure of the broker to file the status report as required by 19 CFR 111.30(d). These licenses were issued in the Houston-Galveston District. The list of affected brokers, both individual and corporate, is as follows:  
George Anki, Jr.—05896  
Lester M. Barnes, Jr.—02448  
Dan Beadle—05532  
Ann M. Beardsley—07523  
Jane Bentley Bowers—05859  
Sandra L. Brown—09523  
Ernest M. Bruni—07706  
Natalie L. Byrd—11151  
John Howard Callaway—07262  
Rodger A. Chilton—07197  
James Costello—06974  
David L. Elmers—07263  
Arthur Oran Evans, III—05069

Margaret L. Graeff—05480  
David W. Gray—05971  
Arnold Gene Greathouse—05230  
James A. Green, Jr.—03928  
Fred M. Hall—05393  
Joseph M. Hankins—07648  
Gulshan Kala—10188  
John William Kenehan—05585  
Salvatore Lobello—07784  
Jose R. Lopez—06998  
Alger L. McDonald—07829  
David R. McIntyre—04747  
Adolph Kennon Meadows—04109  
Jack B. Morgan—04761  
William Cary Okerlund—08042  
Barbara A. Painter—06507  
Joseph B. Peloso—07882  
Gregory L. Perun—06119  
J.G. Philen, Jr.—07082  
J.J. Portier—07280  
Rita R. Powell—05758  
Jerry E. Rojas—05129  
Abelardo A. Salinas—07901  
Charles H. Simpson—05276  
Robert Wilbur Smith, Jr.—03944  
Jose A. Soto, Jr.—07965  
Benny Roy Sprayberry—05146  
Scott Taylor—07395  
Robert J. Villiard—06666  
Phillip Andrew Walsh—06126  
James A. Webster—05525  
Thomas A. Weiderhold—06027  
Rebecca O. Young—09577  
Joe Zaragoza, Jr.—05738

### Corporate

Accelerated Customs Brokers—07504  
Alan Customs Service, Inc.—08048  
All-Phase Freight, Inc.—07448  
Cargo Express, Inc.—11740  
Darrell J. Sekin Co., Inc.—05249  
Davis Import Consultants—06704  
Green, James A., Jr. & Co.—04108  
HLZ Import Service, Inc.—09765  
Jetero Int'l Services, Inc.—07908  
L. Braverman & Company—04365  
Livingston International Inc.—04725  
McLean Cargo Specialist, Inc.—05977  
Panalpina Airfreight, Inc.—04616  
Salinas Forwarding Co., Inc.—07068  
Sauter Corporation—09632  
Shipco, Inc.—04861

Dated: June 14, 1995.

**Philip Metzger,**

Director, Trade Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-14960 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

## UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

### Reporting and Information Collection Requirements Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** United States Information Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to

submit proposed or established reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the Agency has made such a submission. The information collection activity involved with this program is conducted pursuant to the mandate given to the United States Information Agency under the terms and conditions of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87-256. USIA is requesting approval for a three-year extension as well as approval for revisions made to the Office of Arts America, Performing Arts Division, United States Information Agency, Application for Panel Rating under OMB control number 3116-0165 which expires August 31, 1995. The proposed revisions are suggested to enhance clarity of required information. Estimated burden hours per response is one (1) hour. Respondents will be required to respond only one time.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before July 20, 1995.

**COPIES:** Copies of the Request for Clearance (OMB 83-1), supporting statement, transmittal letter and other documents submitted to OMB for approval may be obtained from the USIA Clearance Officer. Comments on the items listed should be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for USIA, and also to the USIA Clearance Officer.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Agency Clearance Officer, Ms. Jeannette Giovetti, United States Information Agency, M/ADD, 301 Fourth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547, telephone (202) 619-4408; and OMB review: Mr. Jefferson Hill, Office of Information And Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Docket Library, Room 1002, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, telephone (202) 395-3176.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Public reporting burden for this collection of information (Paper Work Reduction Project: OMB No. 3116-0165) is estimated to average one (1) hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the United States Information Agency, M/ADD, 301

Fourth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Docket Library, Room 10202, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

**Title:** Office of Arts America Performing Arts Division United States Information Agency Application for Panel Rating.

**Form Number:** IAP-90.

**Abstract:** The USIA form IAP-90 facilitates submission of tapes and supporting materials to the U.S. Information Agency for artistic panel evaluation of artists being considered for USG financial support as a cultural presentation, and/or inclusion in USIA's quarterly listing of performers touring privately, sent to all American Embassies for possible facilitation assistance.

**Proposed Frequency of Responses:** No. of Respondents—500, Total Annual Burden—500.

Dated: June 14, 1995.

**Rose Royal,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 95-14952 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

### Privacy Act of 1974, New Routine Use Statements Amendment of System; Notice

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Notice; New routine use statements.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e), notice is hereby given that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is adding two new routine uses to, and is amending other parts of, a system of records.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the new routine uses. All relevant material received before July 20, 1995, will be considered. All written comments received will be available for public inspection in room 315, Information Management Service, 801 I St., NW, Washington, DC, 20001 between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays until July 31, 1995. If no public comment is received during the 30 day review period allowed for public comment, or unless otherwise published in the **Federal Register** by VA, the routine uses included herein are effective July 20, 1995. Other changes to

the system of records notice contained herein are effective upon publication (June 20, 1995).

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments concerning the new routine uses may be mailed to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs (045A4), 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Muenzen, Information Resources Management Coordination and Field Support Division, Chief, Office of Information Technology (20M52), Veterans Benefits Administration, NW., Washington, DC 20420 (202) 273-6947.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** VA has published final rules (59 FR 47082 (9-19-94)) amending its regulations to add sections 38 CFR 14.640 through 14.643 to provide for expanded remote access to computerized claims records by individuals approved by the Department to represent claimants before VA in the preparation, presentation, and prosecution of claims for veterans' benefits.

Those regulations provide that VA will disclose information concerning how these representatives use their access privileges in two circumstances for which routine uses do not currently exist. First, if VA is considering whether to revoke the individual representative's access privileges generally, VA will notify the representative's employer. Second, if the representative is licensed by a governmental entity, such as a state bar association, VA will report the conduct of the representative to that entity after revocation of access privileges if VA concludes that the conduct which was the basis for revocation of access privileges merits reporting.

Consequently, VA is adding the following two new routine uses as part of the implementation of the remote access regulations.

First, if VA is considering whether to deny or suspend or revoke an individual's access privileges generally, VA may then notify the representative's employer or any recognized service organization with which such a representative is affiliated. Second, if the representative is licensed by a governmental entity, such as a state bar association, VA will report the conduct of the representative to that entity after revocation of access privileges if VA concludes that the conduct which was the basis for revocation of access privileges merits reporting.

Both routine uses satisfy the compatibility requirement of subsection (a)(7) of the Privacy Act. VA will gather this information for the purposes of determining whether it should grant,